

GENERALIZED QUASI-EINSTEIN METRICS ON ADMISSIBLE MANIFOLDS

GIDEON MASCHLER AND CHRISTINA W. TØNNESSEN-FRIEDMAN

ABSTRACT. We prove that an admissible manifold (as defined by Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon and Tønnesen-Friedman), arising from a base with a local Kähler product of constant scalar curvature metrics, admits Generalized Quasi-Einstein Kähler metrics (as defined by D. Guan) in all “sufficiently small” admissible Kähler classes. We give an example where the existence of Generalized Quasi-Einstein metrics fails in some Kähler classes while not in others. We also prove an analogous existence theorem for an additional metric type, defined by the requirement that the scalar curvature is an affine combination of a Killing potential and its Laplacian.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [6], [7], Guan defined and studied Generalized Quasi-Einstein (GQE) Kähler metrics. On compact manifolds, these are Kähler metrics for which the Ricci potential is also a Killing potential. This notion includes gradient Ricci solitons as a special case, and is thus a natural object of study (such solitons are called Quasi-Einstein metrics in some Physics references). In [7], GQE metrics are studied in relation to a modified Calabi flow. Finally, like extremal Kähler metrics, GQE metrics generalize the notion of constant scalar curvature (CSC) Kähler metrics.

Extremal Kähler metrics, defined by the requirement that the scalar curvature is a Killing potential, are the focus of much recent work in Kähler geometry. In [2], a continuity technique was used to show existence of certain explicit extremal metrics. Our aim in this paper is to apply the same technique to the question of existence of GQE metrics.

Existence of GQE metrics has been demonstrated in [6], [7], and [11] in all Kähler classes of certain manifolds. Here we consider a broader class of spaces, namely projective bundles over local products of CSC Kähler manifolds that are admissible in the sense defined in [2]. On these spaces we look for a particular type of GQE metric, which we call admissible. Our main results are as follows. First, we show that any admissible manifold admits a GQE metric in all admissible Kähler classes which are “small” in an appropriate sense. On the other hand, we give an example of a Kähler class on an admissible manifold which is not small, and contains no GQE metric.

Our work is laid out as follows. Section §2 provides a brief introduction to the Generalized Quasi-Einstein metrics as defined by D. Guan in [6] and [7]. Section §3 outlines a brief introduction to the notion of admissible manifolds, defined in [2], while Section §4 covers the definition and basic properties of *admissible* Generalized Quasi-Einstein metrics. Section §5 presents our existence theorem, achieved using a continuity argument. This is the heart and main purpose of these notes. Section §6 provides a non-existence example. Finally, Section §7 contains an appendix discussing another distinguished metric type of Guan, for which an analog of the main existence result is obtained.

We would like to thank Vestislav Apostolov for his helpful advice while preparing this paper.

2. BACKGROUND

Generalized Quasi-Einstein (GQE) Kähler metrics were first introduced by D. Guan [6]. They may be viewed as an alternative (with respect to extremal Kähler metrics) generalization of constant scalar curvature (CSC) Kähler metrics. For instance, any geometrically ruled surface of genus higher than one has Kähler classes with no extremal metrics (but some Kähler classes on such a manifold do admit extremal metrics [12, 2, 13]). In [11] (see also [7] which offers a generalization) it is shown that any Kähler class on this type of manifold admits a GQE metric.

Let M be a complex manifold with almost complex tensor J and a Kähler metric g . A function ϕ on M is called a Killing potential if $J \text{grad } \phi$ is a Killing vector field (i.e. $\nabla J \text{grad } \phi$ is skew-adjoint at every point).

Definition 2.1. [6, 7] Let g be a Kähler metric on a compact complex manifold (M, J) , $Scal$ its scalar curvature and \overline{Scal} its average scalar curvature. We say that g is a GQE metric if there exists a Killing potential ϕ for which

$$Scal - \overline{Scal} = \Delta \phi.$$

Here Δ denotes the Laplacian with respect to g .

Remark 2.2. Since M is compact and $Scal - \overline{Scal} = \Delta G Scal$, with G the Green operator, Definition 2.1 is equivalent to the requirement that the Ricci potential $-G Scal$ is also a Killing potential. In comparison, the definition of an *extremal* Kähler metric is equivalent to the statement that $Scal$ itself is a Killing potential [3].

Definition 2.3. [4, 5] Let ω be a Kähler form on a compact complex manifold (M, J) and let $h(M)$ denote the Lie algebra of the holomorphic vector fields on (M, J) . Then the Futaki invariant of $[\omega]$ is the map $\mathcal{F}_{[\omega]} : h(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{[\omega]}(\Xi) = - \int_M \Xi(G Scal) d\mu,$$

where $\Xi \in h(M)$ and $d\mu$ denotes the volume form of ω .

The Futaki invariant is a Kähler class invariant. The class of any CSC Kähler metric has vanishing Futaki invariant. Moreover,

Proposition 2.4. [6] *A GQE metric is CSC if and only if the Futaki invariant of the Kähler class vanishes.*

Proof. We only need to check the “if” part of the statement. Suppose g is a GQE metric as above for some Killing potential ϕ . Then the value $\mathcal{F}_{[\omega]}((\bar{\partial}\phi)^\sharp)$ of the Futaki invariant on the holomorphic vector field $(\bar{\partial}\phi)^\sharp$ is equal to

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_M (Scal - \overline{Scal}) \phi d\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M \phi \Delta \phi d\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M ||d\phi||^2 d\mu$$

(see e.g. [10]). If this vanishes, then ϕ is constant, and thus $Scal = \overline{Scal}$. □

3. REVIEW OF ADMISSIBLE MANIFOLDS AND METRICS

Let S be a compact complex manifold admitting a Kähler local product metric, whose components are Kähler metrics denoted $(\pm g_a, \pm \omega_a)$, and indexed by $a \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$ (Here $\pm g_a$ is the Kähler metric [In this notation we allow for the tensors g_a to possibly be negatively definite, in which case the corresponding Kähler structure is $(-g_a, -\omega_a)$. If g_a is positive definite, then obviously (g_a, ω_a) is the corresponding Kähler structure. A parametrization given later justifies this convention.] and $\pm \omega_a$ is the corresponding Kähler form.). Note that in all our applications, each $\pm g_a$ is assumed to have CSC. The real dimension of each component is denoted $2d_a$, while the scalar curvature of $\pm g_a$ is given as $\pm 2d_a s_a$. Next, let E_0, E_∞ be projectively flat hermitian holomorphic vector bundles over S , of ranks $d_0 + 1$ and $d_\infty + 1$, respectively, such that the condition $c_1(E_\infty)/(d_\infty + 1) - c_1(E_0)/(d_0 + 1) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} [\omega_a/2\pi]$ holds. Then, following [2], the total space of the projectivization $M = P(E_0 \oplus E_\infty) \rightarrow S$ is called *admissible*. A particular type of Kähler metric on M , also called *admissible*, will now be described.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ be the extended index set defined as follows:

- $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$, if $d_0 = d_\infty = 0$.
- $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{0\}$, if $d_0 > 0$ and $d_\infty = 0$.
- $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{\infty\}$, if $d_0 = 0$ and $d_\infty > 0$.
- $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$, if $d_0 > 0$ and $d_\infty > 0$.

In the cases where $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \neq \mathcal{A}$, the following notations will prove useful: $x_0 = 1$, $x_\infty = -1$, $s_0 = d_0 + 1$ and $s_\infty = -(d_\infty + 1)$.

An admissible Kähler metric is constructed as follows. Consider the circle action on M induced by the standard circle action on E_0 . It extends to a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* action. The open and dense set M_0 of stable points with respect to the latter action has the structure of a principal circle bundle over the stable quotient. The hermitian norm on the fibers induces via a Legendre transform a function $z : M_0 \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ whose extension to M consists of the critical manifolds $z^{-1}(1) = P(E_0 \oplus 0)$ and $z^{-1}(-1) = P(0 \oplus E_\infty)$. Letting θ be a connection one form for the Hermitian metric on M_0 , with curvature $d\theta = \sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \omega_a$, an admissible Kähler metric and form are given up to scale by the respective formulas

$$(1) \quad g = \sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{1 + x_a z}{x_a} g_a + \frac{dz^2}{\Theta(z)} + \Theta(z) \theta^2, \quad \omega = \sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{1 + x_a z}{x_a} \omega_a + dz \wedge \theta,$$

valid on M_0 . Here Θ is a smooth function with domain containing $(-1, 1)$ and $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$ are real numbers of the same sign as g_a and satisfying $0 < |x_a| < 1$. The complex structure yielding this Kähler structure is given by the pullback of the base complex structure along with the requirement $Jdz = \Theta\theta$. The function z is hamiltonian with $K = J \text{grad } z$ a Killing vector field, while θ satisfies $\theta(K) = 1$.

In order that g (be a genuine metric and) extend to all of M , Θ must satisfy the positivity and boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad (i) \ \Theta(z) > 0, \quad -1 < z < 1, \quad (ii) \ \Theta(\pm 1) = 0, \quad (iii) \ \Theta'(\pm 1) = \mp 2.$$

The last two of these are together necessary and sufficient for the compactification of g .

The Kähler class $\Omega_x = [\omega]$ of an admissible metric is also called *admissible* and is uniquely determined by the parameters $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$, once the data associated with M (i.e. d_a, s_a, g_a, z, θ etc.) is fixed. The $x_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$, together with the data associated

with M will be called *admissible data*. The reader is urged to consult Section 1 of [2] for further background on this set-up.

Define a function $F(z)$ by the formula $\Theta(z) = F(z)/p_c(z)$, where $p_c(z) = \prod_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} (1 + x_a z)^{d_a}$. Since $p_c(z)$ is positive for $-1 < z < 1$, conditions (2) imply the following conditions on $F(z)$, which are only necessary for compactification of the metric g :

$$(3) \quad (i) F(z) > 0, \quad -1 < z < 1, \quad (ii) F(\pm 1) = 0, \quad (iii) F'(\pm 1) = \mp 2p_c(\pm 1).$$

For the purpose of understanding admissible GQE metrics, it is useful to recall the fact below.

Proposition 3.1. [1] *For any admissible metric g , if $S(z)$ is a smooth function of z , then*

$$(4) \quad \Delta S = -[F(z)S'(z)]'/p_c(z),$$

where Δ is the Laplacian of g .

Proof. This is a special case of Lemma 5 in [1], but for convenience we shall review the proof here. We denote by $(-, -)$ the inner product on two forms induced by g . Recall that

$$\Delta S = -(dd^c S(z), \omega) = -(dJdS(z), \omega).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta S &= (d(S'(z)Jdz), \omega) = \left(d\left(S'(z)\frac{F(z)}{p_c(z)}\theta\right), \omega \right) \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{[S'(z)F(z)]'}{p_c(z)} - \frac{S'(z)F(z)p'_c(z)}{(p_c(z))^2} \right) dz \wedge \theta, \omega \right) \\ &+ \left(S'(z)\frac{F(z)}{p_c(z)} \sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \omega_a, \omega \right) \\ &= \frac{[S'(z)F(z)]'}{p_c(z)} - \frac{S'(z)F(z)}{p_c(z)} \left[\frac{p'_c(z)}{(p_c(z))} - \sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{d_a x_a}{(1+x_a z)} \right] \\ &= \frac{[S'(z)F(z)]'}{p_c(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the relation $(\omega_a, \omega) = (\omega_a, ((1+x_az)/x_a)\omega_a) = (x_a/(1+x_az))^2(\omega_a, ((1+x_az)/x_a)\omega_a)_a = (x_a/(1+x_az))d_a$, with $(-, -)_a$ the inner product induced by g_a , was used. \square

The scalar curvature of an admissible metric is given (cf. [1], or (10) in [2]) by

$$(5) \quad Scal = \sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{2d_a s_a x_a}{1+x_a z} - \frac{F''(z)}{p_c(z)},$$

Let $C_*^\infty([-1, 1])$ denote the set of functions $f(z)$ of z which are smooth in $[-1, 1]$ and normalized so that they integrate to zero when viewed as smooth functions on M . The latter condition is equivalent to $\int_{-1}^1 f(z)p_c(z)dz = 0$, since the volume form of an admissible metric equals $p_c(z)(\bigwedge_a \frac{(\omega_a/x_a)^{d_a}}{d_a!}) \wedge dz \wedge \theta$.

Corollary 3.2. Given an admissible metric g , its Laplacian gives a surjective map from $C_*^\infty([-1, 1])$ to itself (considered as a space of functions on M).

Proof. Given $R(z) \in C_*^\infty([-1, 1])$, an explicit z -dependent solution to $\Delta S(z) = R(z)$ can be obtained directly from (4) on the open dense set for which for $z \neq \pm 1$. Either by general Hodge theory or, more concretely, by a L'hospital rule argument (using (3.ii) and (3.iii)), this solution extends to the ± 1 level sets of z . \square

Corollary 3.3. The Ricci potential of an admissible metric is a function of z .

Proof. This follows from the previous corollary since by (5) the scalar curvature of an admissible metric is a smooth function of the moment map z . \square

4. GQE METRICS ON ADMISSIBLE MANIFOLDS

Recall from Remark 2.2 that a Kähler metric is GQE if and only if its Ricci potential is a Killing potential. It follows from Corollary 3.3 that an admissible metric g with moment map z is GQE only if its Ricci potential is affine in z . When this holds, we will call the metric *admissible GQE*. Using Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.2, the admissible GQE condition can be written as

$$(6) \quad Scal - \overline{Scal} = k\Delta z,$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

We turn now to an ODE for F which characterizes admissible GQE metrics. Since for an admissible metric we have from (5) and (4) the formulas

$$Scal = \sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{2d_a s_a x_a}{1 + x_a z} - \frac{F''(z)}{p_c(z)}, \quad \Delta z = \frac{-F'(z)}{p_c(z)},$$

equation (6) holds if and only if

$$(7) \quad F''(z) - kF'(z) = 2 \left(\sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{d_a s_a x_a}{1 + x_a z} \right) p_c(z) - \frac{2\beta_0 p_c(z)}{\alpha_0},$$

where

$$\alpha_0 = \int_{-1}^1 p_c(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_0 = p_c(1) + p_c(-1) + \int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{d_a s_a x_a}{1 + x_a t} \right) p_c(t) dt.$$

Note here that the expression $\overline{Scal} = 2\beta_0/\alpha_0$ (as well as the formula for $Scal$), appears in the proof Proposition 6 in [2].

Remark 4.1. Using the extremal polynomial notion (see [2]), it is straightforward to verify that an admissible metric is simultaneously GQE and extremal if and only if it is CSC. It is tempting to conjecture that this is true in more generality.

Just as in the extremal case (see e.g. Section 2.4 in [2]), equations (3.ii) and (3.iii) together with (7) imply (2.ii) and (2.iii). So, under assumption (7), (3.ii) and (3.iii) are the necessary and sufficient boundary conditions for the compactification of g .

Integrating (7) and then solving the resulting first order ODE gives

$$(8) \quad F(z) = e^{kz} \int_{-1}^z e^{-kt} P(t) dt,$$

where k is a constant and

$$(9) \quad P(t) = 2 \int_{-1}^t \left(\left(\sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{d_a s_a x_a}{1 + x_a u} \right) p_c(u) - \frac{\beta_0 p_c(u)}{\alpha_0} \right) du + 2p_c(-1),$$

with the last term determined by the requirement that (3.iii) be satisfied. Also, (3.ii) will be satisfied if and only if there exists a $k \in \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$(10) \quad \int_{-1}^1 e^{-kt} P(t) dt = 0.$$

In summary, we have

Proposition 4.2. *Given admissible data on an admissible manifold, let F be the solution of (7) of the form (8), (9). Suppose there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ for which (10) holds and (3.i) is satisfied by F . Then the admissible metric naturally constructed from F and the given data is GQE. Conversely, for any admissible GQE metric (up to scale), the associated function F has the form (8), (9), solves (7), satisfies (3.i) and there exists a $k \in \mathbb{R}$ for which (10) holds.*

We give now two preparatory lemmas on properties of the rational function $P(t)$.

Lemma 4.3. *For any given admissible data, the function $P(t)$ given by (9) satisfies: If $d_0 = 0$, then $P(-1) > 0$, otherwise $P(-1) = 0$. If $d_\infty = 0$, then $P(1) < 0$, otherwise $P(1) = 0$. Furthermore, $P(t) > 0$ in some (deleted) right neighborhood of $t = -1$, and $P(t) < 0$ in some (deleted) left neighborhood of $t = 1$.*

Proof. First observe that by design $P(\pm 1) = \mp 2p_c(\pm 1)$, which yields the claimed signs of P at the endpoints. Also, $p_c(t)$ contains the factors $1 + x_0 t$, $1 + x_\infty t$ with multiplicity d_0 or, respectively, d_∞ . One of these factors accounts for the vanishing of P at $t = -1$ (or $t = 1$) unless $d_0 = 0$ (or $d_\infty = 0$). Furthermore, $P'(t)$ contains these factors in each summand, to multiplicity at least $d_0 - 1$ (or $d_\infty - 1$). Differentiating $P(t)$, we see that if $d_0 > 0$, then $P^{(d_0)}(-1) > 0$ (and the lower order derivatives vanish), while if $d_\infty > 0$, then $P^{(d_\infty)}(1)$ has sign $(-1)^{d_\infty+1}$ (and the lower order derivatives vanish). From these observations the result follows easily by considering the Taylor expansion of $P(t)$ near ± 1 . \square

Lemma 4.4. *If the function $P(t)$ given by (9) has exactly one root in the interval $(-1, 1)$, then there exists a unique $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$(11) \quad \int_{-1}^1 e^{-kt} P(t) dt = 0.$$

Moreover, for this k , the positivity condition (3.i) is satisfied when $F(z)$ is defined as in (8), (9).

Proof. If $P(t)$ has just one root t_0 in the interval $(-1, 1)$, then, we may write

$$P(t) = (t - t_0)p(t)$$

where, due to Lemma 4.3, $p(t)$ is negative for all $t \in (-1, 1)$. Consider now the auxiliary function

$$G(k) = e^{kt_0} \int_{-1}^1 e^{-kt} P(t) dt = \int_{-1}^1 p(t)(t - t_0)e^{-k(t-t_0)} dt.$$

By direct calculation, $G'(k)$ is positive, while $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} G = -\infty$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G = +\infty$, as can be checked by taking the limit after first breaking the integral in the form $\int_{-1}^{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^1$. This proves the existence and uniqueness of a k for which $G(k) = 0$, or equivalently $\int_{-1}^1 e^{-kt} P(t) dt = 0$.

Finally, given this k , since $e^{-kt}P(t)$ changes sign exactly once in $(-1, 1)$ and is positive near $t = -1$, condition (11) clearly guarantees that $\int_{-1}^z e^{-kt}P(t) dt$ is a nonnegative function for $z \in (-1, 1)$. Therefore (3.i) is satisfied for $F(z)$ as defined in (8), (9). \square

5. A CONTINUITY ARGUMENT

Let $M = P(E_0 \oplus E_\infty) \rightarrow S$ be an admissible manifold, where the base S is a local Kähler product of CSC metrics $(\pm g_a, \pm \omega_a)$. The aim of this section is to show that for $|x_a|$ sufficiently small for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the corresponding Kähler class admits

an admissible GQE metric. In light of Lemma 4.4, the strategy will be to show that in this case $P(t)$ has just one root in $(-1, 1)$.

Observe that

$$P'(t) = 2 \left(\sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{d_a s_a x_a}{1 + x_a t} \right) p_c(t) - \frac{2\beta_0 p_c(t)}{\alpha_0}$$

and, as in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we make the following observations

- If $d_0 > 1$, then $P'(-1) = 0$ and $P'(t)$ is positive in some (deleted) right neighborhood of $t = -1$.
- If $d_0 = 1$, then $P'(-1) > 0$.
- If $d_\infty > 1$, then $P'(1) = 0$ and $P'(t)$ is positive in some (deleted) left neighborhood of $t = 1$.
- If $d_\infty = 1$, then $P'(1) > 0$.

We will now look at the behaviour of $P'(t)$ when x_a is near 0 for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The limit $x_a \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ (of any expression) will be denoted simply by \lim . For $P'(t)$, this limit does not correspond to a Kähler class, but is nonetheless a perfectly well behaved smooth function.

Lemma 5.1. $\lim P'(t)$, taken as $x_a \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, equals

$$\begin{aligned} & 2d_0(d_0 + 1)(1 + t)^{d_0-1}(1 - t)^{d_\infty} \\ & + 2d_\infty(d_\infty + 1)((1 + t)^{d_0}(1 - t)^{d_\infty-1}) \\ & - (1 + d_0 + d_\infty)(2 + d_0 + d_\infty)(1 + t)^{d_0}(1 - t)^{d_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first two terms of the expression simply follows from the fact that $s_0 x_0 = d_0 + 1$ (if $d_0 \neq 0$) and $s_\infty x_\infty = d_\infty + 1$ (if $d_\infty \neq 0$).

The last term follows from the fact that (in the limit considered here) $\lim(2\beta_0/\alpha_0)$ equals $(1 + d_0 + d_\infty)(2 + d_0 + d_\infty)$. This fact is not at all trivial but follows directly from the calculations at the end of Appendix B of [2]. \square

5.1. Case 1: $d_0 > 0, d_\infty > 0$. In this case $\lim P'(t)$ is

$$g(t)(1 + t)^{d_0-1}(1 - t)^{d_\infty-1},$$

where

$$g(t) = 2d_0(d_0 + 1)(1 - t) + 2d_\infty(d_\infty + 1)(1 + t) - (1 + d_0 + d_\infty)(2 + d_0 + d_\infty)(1 - t^2)$$

is a concave up parabola, which is positive at $t = \pm 1$ and has a minimum value equal to $-4(1 + d_0)(1 + d_\infty)/(2 + d_0 + d_\infty)$, so negative, in the interval $(-1, 1)$. It is now clear that $\lim P'(t)$ has two distinct simple roots in the interval $(-1, 1)$. Thus for $|x_a|$ sufficiently small for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the function $P'(t)$ also has exactly two zeroes, i.e. $P(t)$ has exactly two [The factored term $(1 + t)^{d_0-1}(1 - t)^{d_\infty-1}$ does not depend on x_a , so the corresponding endpoint roots stay put as x_a changes.] critical points in $(-1, 1)$. Putting this together with Lemma 4.3, we see that $P(t)$ must change sign exactly once in $(-1, 1)$.

5.2. Case 2: $d_0 = 0, d_\infty > 0$. In this case $\lim P'(t)$ is

$$g(t)(1 + d_\infty)(1 - t)^{d_\infty-1},$$

where

$$g(t) = (2 + d_\infty)t + d_\infty - 2$$

is linear and increasing from $g(-1) = -4 < 0$ to $g(1) = 2d_\infty > 0$. Hence $\lim P'(t)$ has exactly one simple zero in $(-1, 1)$. Thus for $|x_a|$ sufficiently small for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the function $P'(t)$ also has exactly one zero, i.e. $P(t)$ has exactly one critical point

in $(-1, 1)$. Putting this together with Lemma 4.3, we see that $P(t)$ must change sign exactly once in $(-1, 1)$.

5.3. Case 3: $d_0 > 0, d_\infty = 0$. In this case $\lim P'(t)$ is

$$g(t)(1 + d_0)(1 + t)^{d_0-1},$$

where

$$g(t) = -(2 + d_0)t + d_0 - 2$$

is linear and decreasing from $g(-1) = 2d_0 > 0$ to $g(1) = -4 > 0$. Hence $\lim P'(t)$ has exactly one simple root in $(-1, 1)$. Thus for $|x_a|$ sufficiently small for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the function $P'(t)$ also has exactly one zero, i.e. $P(t)$ has exactly one critical point in $(-1, 1)$. Putting this together with Lemma 4.3, we see that $P(t)$ must change sign exactly once in $(-1, 1)$.

5.4. Case 4: $d_0 = 0 = d_\infty$. In this case $\lim P'(t)$ is simply the constant function $g(t) = -2$. Hence $\lim P'(t)$ has no roots in $(-1, 1)$ and is negative. Thus for $|x_a|$ sufficiently small for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the function $P'(t)$ is also strictly negative, i.e. $P(t)$ is a strictly decreasing function on $(-1, 1)$. Putting this together with Lemma 4.3, we see that $P(t)$ must change sign exactly once in $(-1, 1)$.

Having thus considered all possible cases we may now conclude with

Theorem 1. *Let $M = P(E_0 \oplus E_\infty) \rightarrow S$ be an admissible manifold arising from a base S with a local Kähler product of CSC metrics. Then the set of admissible Kähler classes admitting an admissible GQE metric forms a nonempty open subset of the set of all admissible Kähler classes. Any admissible Kähler class which is sufficiently small, that is, for which $|x_a|$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, are all sufficiently small, belongs to this subset.*

Proof. The non-emptiness and the inclusion of sufficiently small admissible classes follow from the observations above and Lemma 4.4.

For the openness we proceed as follows. Recall from Section 3 that for a given admissible manifold, the admissible Kähler classes are parameterized (up to scale) by x_a , $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, N\}$, so that the set of admissible Kähler classes (up to scale) is represented by an open subset $W \subset (-1, 1)^N$. Rephrasing Proposition 4.2, an admissible Kähler class given by (x_1, \dots, x_N) admits an admissible GQE metric if and only if there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(12) \quad \int_{-1}^1 e^{-kt} P(t) dt = 0$$

and

$$(13) \quad \int_{-1}^t e^{-ku} P(u) du > 0, \quad t \in (-1, 1),$$

for $P(t)$ as in (9).

Suppose that $(x_1^0, \dots, x_N^0, k^0) \in W \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (12) and (13). We need to show that for $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in W$ sufficiently close to (x_1^0, \dots, x_N^0) , there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (x_1, \dots, x_N, k) also satisfies (12) and (13). Define $\Phi : W \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_N, k) = \int_{-1}^1 e^{-kt} P(t) dt,$$

where $P(t)$ is determined by (x_1, \dots, x_N) . Clearly Φ is a smooth mapping. Then

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial k} = - \int_{-1}^1 t e^{-kt} P(t) dt = - \int_{-1}^1 e^{-kt} P(t) dt + \int_{-1}^1 \left(\int_{-1}^t e^{-ku} P(u) du \right) dt,$$

which by (12) and (13) is positive at $(x_1^0, \dots, x_N^0, k^0)$. A standard implicit function theorem now gives an open neighborhood $U \subset W$ of (x_1^0, \dots, x_N^0) such that for all $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in U$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_N, k) = 0$, i.e., (12) is satisfied. Moreover, such k are close to k^0 , when (x_1, \dots, x_N) is close to (x_1^0, \dots, x_N^0) . By continuity of $\int_{-1}^t e^{-ku} P(u) du$ with respect to x_1, \dots, x_N , and k , there is an open neighborhood $V \subset U \subset W$ of (x_1^0, \dots, x_N^0) such that for all $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in V$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (13) as well as (12) are satisfied. The openness statement now follows and this concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

The theorem above can be compared to D. Guan's existence result [7], accomplished by a delicate root counting argument similar in type to the one encountered for extremal Kähler metrics (see Hwang and Singer [9] as well as Guan [8]). The argument places some scalar curvature sign restrictions on the base of the admissible manifold (which are, however, not as severe as in the corresponding extremal metric case). Suppose M is a manifold as in Theorem 1 and consider among the tensors g_a two subsets, of positive definite, and, respectively, negative definite tensors. Assume that at least one of these subsets has no elements whose corresponding Kähler metric has negative scalar curvature. Suppose further that the non-zero scalar curvatures of the Kähler metrics corresponding tensors in the complementary subset all have the same sign [In the most general form of Cor. 2.13 in [7], the second condition can be relaxed a bit. Then, however, the existence appears to depend on the Kähler class - in a different sense than our "smallness" condition.]. Then Guan's existence result (Cor. 2.13 in [7]) implies that every admissible Kähler class has an admissible GQE metric.

6. A NON-EXISTENCE EXAMPLE

Consider the admissible manifold

$$P(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1, -1)) \rightarrow \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2,$$

where Σ_1 and Σ_2 are both compact Riemann surfaces of genus two and g_1 and $-g_2$ are both Kähler metrics of scalar curvature -4 . Thus $d_0 = d_\infty = 0$, $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} = \{1, 2\}$, $d_1 = d_2 = 1$, $s_1 = -s_2 = -2$, and the Kähler cone is parametrized by $0 < x_1 < 1$ and $-1 < x_2 < 0$.

Using Proposition 6 in [2] one may calculate that the Futaki invariant of $J \text{ grad } z$ equals (up to sign and scale)

$$\frac{(1 + x_1 - x_2)(x_1 + x_2)}{(3 + x_1 x_2)^2}.$$

When $x_2 = -x_1$ this vanishes, in fact $\mathcal{F}_{[\omega]}(\Xi)$ vanishes for any $\Xi \in h(M) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$, and using Proposition 2.4 we see that any GQE metric in the corresponding class must be CSC. In turn, any CSC Kähler metric must be admissible [2] and thus k in equation (6) should be equal to zero. Calculating $P(t)$ in this case, we get

$$P(t) = \frac{2t(3 - 3x_1^2 - 4x_1^3 - x_1^2(1 - 4x_1 - x_1^2)t^2)}{x_1^2 - 3}.$$

It is easy to see that $\int_{-1}^1 P(t) dt = 0$, so $F(z) = \int_{-1}^z P(t) dt$ solves (3.ii) as well as (7) and (3.iii). We calculate that

$$F(z) = \frac{(1 - z^2)(6 - 7x_1^2 - 4x_1^3 + x_1^4 - x_1^2(1 - 4x_1 - x_1^2)z^2)}{2(3 - x_1^2)}.$$

For the interested reader, let us remark that $F(z)$ is the *extremal polynomial* introduced in [2].

By direct inspection (or by Theorem 2 in [2] and Theorem 1 in this text), we see that if $|x_1|$ is sufficiently small, (3.i) holds and a CSC metric exists in the corresponding Kähler class. However, for e.g. $x_1 = 0.8$ (and $x_2 = -0.8$) (3.i) fails, and thus there exists no GQE metric in the corresponding Kähler class.

Notice, that off but near the line $x_2 = -x_1$, (e.g. $x_1 = 0.9$ and $x_2 = -0.75$) one may check that there is no extremal Kähler metric in the corresponding class. It can, however, be shown that in this case $P(t)$ satisfies Lemma 4.4. Hence this Kähler class *admits* an admissible GQE metric.

Remark 6.1. It seems to be “easier” to obtain existence of an admissible GQE metric than that of an (admissible) extremal Kähler metric in a given admissible Kähler class. It is tempting to conjecture that the existence of extremal Kähler metrics in admissible Kähler classes (i.e. positivity of the extremal polynomial) implies the existence of an admissible GQE metric. Such a result would yield Theorem 1 as a corollary of Theorem 2 from [2]. To determine this one would have to study more closely the relationship between the extremal polynomial from [2] and $P(z)$.

7. APPENDIX: OTHER METRICS

The methods of this paper can be used to give an existence result for another distinguished metric type, which extrapolates between extremal and GQE metrics. This type has been considered by Guan in [7]. Namely, the Killing potential ϕ is now required to satisfy an equation stating that $Scal - \overline{Scal}$ is an affine combination of $\Delta\phi$ and ϕ . Among admissible metrics with an associated moment map z , we therefore look for metrics satisfying

$$(14) \quad Scal - \overline{Scal} = k\Delta z + b(z + l),$$

for some $k, b, l \in \mathbb{R}$. The constant l guarantees that the right hand side of this equation integrates to zero. It can be computed from admissible data using its defining equation (14), along with the expressions appearing in the proof of Proposition 6 of [2], giving $l = -\alpha_1/\alpha_0$, with $\alpha_r = \int_{-1}^1 p_c(t)t^r dt$, $r = 1, 2$. Using Appendix B of [2], we have

Lemma 7.1. *The limit of l as $x_a \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is $(d_\infty - d_0)/(2 + d_0 + d_\infty)$.*

We now state an existence result for metrics satisfying (14).

Theorem 2. *Let $M = P(E_0 \oplus E_\infty) \rightarrow S$ be an admissible manifold arising from a base S with a local Kähler product of CSC metrics. Then, for any given $b \in \mathbb{R}$, the set of admissible Kähler classes admitting an admissible metric satisfying (14) forms a nonempty open subset of the set of all admissible Kähler classes. Any admissible Kähler class which is sufficiently small, that is, for which $|x_a|$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, are all sufficiently small, belongs to this subset.*

Remark 7.2. Aside from generalizing Theorem 1, the above theorem overlaps with Proposition 9 in [2], which says that for small classes we may solve (14) for $k = 0$, obtaining an extremal Kähler metric. Moreover, a solution with $k = 0$ can only exist with a particular - Kähler class dependent - value of b (namely $-A$ as defined in Proposition 6 of [2], see also equation (13) there). Therefore, when b does not equal this value and is not zero, Theorem 2 guarantees existence of Kähler metrics which are of a new type, i.e. are neither extremal nor GQE.

Below we only prove Theorem 2 in the case when the ranks of E_0 and E_∞ are at least 2, i.e. when $d_0, d_\infty > 0$. The general argument is similar.

Proof. The ODE corresponding to (7) in this case, is

$$F''(z) - kF'(z) = 2 \left(\sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{d_a s_a x_a}{1 + x_a z} \right) p_c(z) - \left(\frac{2\beta_0}{\alpha_0} + b(z + l) \right) p_c(z),$$

and again, assuming this equation holds, (3.ii) and (3.iii) are the necessary and sufficient boundary conditions, which guarantee existence of a metric of type (14) on a (compact) admissible manifold. Its solution F satisfies, as before, $F(z) = e^{kz} \int_{-1}^z e^{-kt} P(t) dt$, where $P(t)$ (given similarly to (9)) is such that

$$P'(t) = 2 \left(\sum_{a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{d_a s_a x_a}{1 + x_a t} \right) p_c(t) - \left(\frac{2\beta_0}{\alpha_0} + b(t + l) \right) p_c(t).$$

For the function $P(t)$, the analog of Lemma 4.3 holds (since the proof depends largely on $p_c(t)$). The analog of Lemma 4.4 also holds, for fixed b and l , with the same proof. Hence what is left is to analyze $\lim P'(t)$, taken as $x_a \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. As in Case 1, we have $\lim P'(t) = g(t)(1+t)^{d_0-1}(1-t)^{d_\infty-1}$, yet here $g(t)$ is the cubic polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= 2d_0(d_0+1)(1-t) + 2d_\infty(d_\infty+1)(1+t) \\ &\quad - (1+d_0+d_\infty)(2+d_0+d_\infty)(1-t^2) - b(t + \lim l)(1-t^2). \end{aligned}$$

We have $g(-1) = 4d_0(d_0+1) > 0$, $g(1) = 4d_\infty(d_\infty+1) > 0$. Hence (asymptotics of a cubic show that) one of the roots of $g(t)$ lies outside $(-1, 1)$, and thus at most two lie in $(-1, 1)$. Our proof will be complete once we show that $g(t)$ has exactly two simple roots in $(-1, 1)$, since then the same will hold for $\lim P(t)$, and we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1. For this, it is enough to show that $g(t_0) < 0$ for some $t_0 \in (-1, 1)$. Let $t_0 = -\lim l = (d_0 - d_\infty)/(2 + d_0 + d_\infty)$. This number clearly lies in $(-1, 1)$, and a direct calculation gives $g(t_0) = -(4(1+d_\infty)(1+d_0))/(2+d_0+d_\infty) < 0$ as required. This completes the proof of non-emptiness and the inclusion of sufficiently small admissible classes, using Lemma 4.4. Openness follows as in Theorem 1. \square

Remark 7.3. It is not hard to check that the Kähler class in the example from Section 6, which carries no GQE nor extremal Kähler metric, does in fact have admissible metrics satisfying (14).

REFERENCES

1. V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry, I General theory*, J. Differential Geom. **73** (2006), 359-412.
2. V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon and C. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry, III Extremal Metrics and Stability*, Invent. math. **173** (2008) 547-601.
3. E. Calabi, *Extremal Kähler metrics*, Seminar on Differential Geometry, Princeton Univ. Press (1982), 259-290.
4. E. Calabi, *Extremal Kähler metrics II*, I. Chavel, H.M. Farkas (eds.), Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis, Springer, Berlin (1985).
5. A. Futaki, *Kähler-Einstein Metrics and Integral Invariants*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1314, Springer, Berlin (1988).
6. D. Guan, *Quasi-Einstein Metrics*, Int. Journal of Math. **6** (1995), 371-379.
7. D. Guan, *Extremal-solitons and exponential C^∞ convergence of the modified Calabi flow on certain \mathbb{CP}^1 bundles*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **233** (2007), 91-124.

8. D. Guan, *Existence of extremal metrics on compact almost homogeneous Kähler manifolds with two ends*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 2255–2262.
9. A. D. Hwang and M. A. Singer, *A momentum construction for circle-invariant Kähler metrics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 2285–2325.
10. C. LeBrun, S.R. Simanca, *Extremal Kähler Metrics and Complex Deformation Theory*, Geom. and Func. Analysis **4** (1994), 298–335.
11. H. Pedersen, C. Tønnesen-Friedman, and G. Valent, *Quasi-Einstein Kähler Metrics*, Lett. in Math. Phys. **50** (1999), 229–241.
12. G. Székelyhidi, *Extremal metrics and K-stability*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **39** (2007), 76–84.
13. C. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Extremal Kähler metrics on minimal ruled surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **502** (1998), 175–197.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, CLARK UNIVERSITY, WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS 01610, USA

E-mail address: gmaschler@clarku.edu

CHRISTINA W. TØNNESEN-FRIEDMAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNION COLLEGE, SCHENECTADY, NEW YORK 12308, USA

E-mail address: tonnesecc@union.edu